

FILED
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

2007 OCT 18 PM 1:13

①

06-138-MPT

Plaintiff Motion to Dismiss (See Hines) et al
Summary Judgement request
by Defendants and Find
Favorable Justice for Plaintiff.

I was a full time employee for 16 $\frac{1}{2}$ years. I
was eligible for 4 weeks vacation, 3 personal
Paid days, 10 sick days, 26 weeks STD, 26
Weeks LTD, 12 weeks FMLA and up to 1 year
Approved leave of absence. I began employment
Part time December '87, became full time Feb. '88.
I was negligently injured on the job Feb '99. This
Worker's Comp Claim has never been fully
Commuted from '99 - present. I was involved in
a Motor Vehicle accident April 2, 2004 (27th). As
a result I was out of work on STD leave.
According to the Gannett News Journal Policy,
an employee is entitled to use the time earned,
if this injury becomes extensive, and the time
earned does not cover the leave, then the employee
may request FMLA or leave of absence. (See Exhibit B)

(5)

06-138-MPT

the Income Protection plan clearly state, At the Company discretion, proof of illness either in written form from my physician or through an examination by a company-selected physician may be required before payments under this plan is authorized. The plan clearly states "since STD continues until employee is fit to return to work, periodic reports from my physician may be requested. (See exhibit B). All medical forms, medical records, Doctor notes any other requested info may returned to Ann Hines.

Ann Hines never never discussed with me, the jeopardy of me losing my job, she never had to remind me of medical documentation -- as I ALWAYS made it my priority to respond to all her request to avoid such allegations and loss of my job.

As stated by Ann Hines the purpose of the IME was due to vagueness of my previous notes, to determine in fact, if I could return to work on any capacity & to see if I was still eligible for STD benefits clearly contradicts the previous answers she stated to Community Legal Aid, her counselor from Virginia (Gonnell) and her present attorney (Ms. Jauffret).

#06-138-MPT

(3)

Ann Hines states she requested a IME for the purpose of my eligibility however what she was provided from Ms. Surdo (Concentra Medical Center) was a return to work evaluation. (There is a difference in performance of a IME and evaluation.

The answers Ms. Hines provided in her affidavit are much different and conflict with her previous statements. Her answers are clearly responsive to the statements she witnessed from me in a deposition August 2007. Ann Hines made fraudulent statements to her Counselor, her VP, her attorney to defeat the courts. I also find it amusing immediately after the deposition in August 2007, Ann Hines is no longer with the company.

Her actions, Misrepresentation and authority to administer Human Resources duties should be accountable and illegal practice. To intentionally misrepresent an employee resulting in loss of employment, then Scapegoat by leaving or being forced to leave the duties she performed reflects the natural acts of a guilty Party.

#06-138-MPT

(4)

Beloves Pinto's affidavit holds no credibility
on behalf of the News Journal. My charges have
been exposed, requesting information from my
Personnel file, documentation from Human Resources
(one directed to Ms. Pinto) since September 2004
through Community Legal Aid. Ms. Pinto never
responded to my request with information nor
documentation. In fact at one point in
November or December 2004, Ms. Pinto stated to
Community legal aid she was not aware of what
was going on between Ann Hines & the termination
of my employment/benefits nor requested information.
She was to speak to Ann Hines & forward the
requested information. (which never happened). She
lastly responded, we would not receive any
documents because she received charges from
DDOL. Again, Community legal Aid requested
separate documents which were never delivered.
As with Ann Hines, Ms. Pinto was present
at my August 2004. Prior to the deposition

(5)

#06138.MPT

There are no other statements from Delores Pinto. Her answers are clearly responsive to the statements she witnessed from me in the August 2007 deposition. Delores Pinto introduces herself as the VP for the News Journal whose duties include the oversight and administration of company policy and procedures. Ms. Pinto did not serve to be the VP, she honored and now defrauding the legal system after the illegal actions of Ann Hines has come to a point. She must take responsibility. Ms. Pinto answers are deceitful, scapegoating therefore should not be creditable to my charges. Ms. Pinto had since Sept. 2004 to be heard, but not until August 2007 after sitting in with the defense attorney and taking notes did she ever submit a statement prior. Even upon request from Community Legal Aid.

06-138-MPT

(6)

Shelly Rumpf as I stated in prior letters, challenged my doctors, my medication, my health and caused me a new illness "Depression". She Rumpf did let me know I merit increase was approved and I would get my money retroactive when I return. Shelly Rumpf statement is true I never mentioned unused vacation to her, I requested that from our times after the note to file my position. I find it very challenging, Ms. Rumpf felt withholding from the staff eliminating my position was confidential, but it was not confidential I had a Medical Condition.

Again this statement Shelly Rumpf, disclosed personal information about me when she sent a email to the entire finance Dept was made by me in the August 2007 deposition with the Defendants attorney.

06-138 MPJ

(B)

Conclusion

Delores Pinto

Anne Hines

Shelly Rumpf

We're all in their affidavits claiming up strong deceit, fraudulent answers and illegal practice the policy and procedures they took reverse actions against me without proper notice to fraud the system. I was never given an opportunity to save my job. I never used all the earned days I was entitled to therefore I had to reason to request leave of absence no any other extensions. According to Anne Hines I had until October 20, 2004 to return to work. Anne, Delores nor Shelly never requested from me nor my doctor for any information "such as" a return to work if this was something she knew to be important and consistent with me being terminated. Anne Hines

(8)

06-138-MPT

Called me repeatedly in August 2004, requesting
demanding I supply her with my entire medical
records from Sports Rehabilitation (Dr. Sternberg)
which I did. Likewise, if a return to work
date made the determining factor as to why
I have no job, Ann Hines never allowed
me to know this. Elimination in the dictionary
~~complaints~~ means "get rid of." Through their
paperwork it shows where the "Credit Clerk" position was
filled. Through a thorough investigation you will
find my position "Credit clerk" collector for
retail advertising has never been eliminated.
I was never given an opportunity to return to
work or appeal the reverse information
provided to me by Ann Hines. I was also
told by letter "Contact them when I can
return "full-time". (Part time was not an option).
I never exhausted all my earned time. I
never received any earned vacation pay I
was short pension pay. I was never offered
layoff, ~~buyout~~ buy out nor early retirement.

#06-138.MPT ⑨

The News Journal through Ann Hines raped me of all my benefits, my lifestyle and employment by use of illegal, fraud, deceit and adverse practices without giving me the option to appeal; without giving me notice of an appeal process or the notice of a return to work date. All other demands came to me in form of a letter from Ann Hines giving me specific response dates. My rights were violated, my medical was discriminated and their actions and statements of response are fraudulent. Never before my deposition have we heard anything of Dennis Pinto, Curtis Riddle nor Dan Lemire. This clearly proves the Motive the Defendants are trying to present as a scapegoat to cover their illegal practice, policy and procedures. A prior exhibit clearly show Shelly Rumpf requested elimination of position not Dennis Pinto.

06-138-mpt

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify October 17, 2004 I hand
delivered to the Clerk of Court "response
to affidavits" from Defendants. Copies hand
delivered to: Jennifer Jaupret
Layton & Fingee
One Rodney Sq
Wilmington DE
19899

Dernette Walker
Plaintiffs (Pro Se)